

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/550,187	09/21/2005	Javier Sanchez	W1878.0222	5315
DICKSTEIN SHAPIRO LLP 1177 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS (6TH AVENUE) NEW YORK, NY 10036-2714			EXAMINER	
			KAO, WEI PO ERIC	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2616	
	,		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
	4		02/01/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

4)	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/550,187	SANCHEZ, JAVIER
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Wei-po Kao	2616
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.11 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUN 36(a). In no event, however, may a will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC, cause the application to become A	ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>21 Structure</u> This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This 3)□ Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under Experimental E	action is non-final.	·
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-14 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.	
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	ar	
10) The drawing(s) filed on 21 September 2005 is/s Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Expression of the Expre	are: a) accepted or b) drawing(s) be held in abeya tion is required if the drawin	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in rity documents have bee u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No In received in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No	v Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date f Informal Patent Application

Application/Control Number:

10/550,187 Art Unit: 2616

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

1. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Abstract

2. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because of the following informality:

The abstract contains typographical mistakes, namely T?offset#191.

Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

Drawings

3. The drawings are objected to because the rectangular box(s), figure 1 elements 14, 16 and 18, shown in the drawings should be provided with descriptive text labels; "GMS" in figure 1

10/550,187

Art Unit: 2616

elements 6 and 8 should be replaced with "GSM". Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

4. In addition to Replacement Sheets containing the corrected drawing figure(s), applicant is required to submit a marked-up copy of each Replacement Sheet including annotations indicating the changes made to the previous version. The marked-up copy must be clearly labeled as "Annotated Sheet" and must be presented in the amendment or remarks section that explains the change(s) to the drawings. See 37 CFR 1.121(d)(1). Failure to timely submit the corrected drawing and marked-up copy will result in the abandonment of the application.

Claim Objections

5. Claims 1, 6 and 7 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75 because of the following informalities:

The claimed term, "the first radio technique," of claim 1 line 7 seems to refer the first of the two distinct radio access techniques. It is suggested to change to "the first access radio technique."

The claimed term, "the first radio technique," of claim 6 line 7 seems to refer the first of the two distinct radio access techniques. It is suggested to change to "the first access radio technique."

The phrase "capable to" recited in claim 1 line 2, claim 6 line 2 is not positively recited claim language. Therefore, the limitations after the phrase are not considered the claim limitation. It is suggested that the applicant remove the phrase. However, the reference cited teaches the subject matter following the phrase.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejection - 35 USC § 103

Application/Control Number: Page 5

10/550,187

Art Unit: 2616

6. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the

claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various

claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any

evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out

the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later

invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c)

and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

7. The factual inquiries set forth in Graham v. John Deere Co., 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459

(1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35

U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.

4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or

nonobviousness.

8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all

obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Page 6

Application/Control Number:

10/550,187

Art Unit: 2616

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as

set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be

patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at

the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject

matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was

made.

9. Claims 1-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the

background of Sanchez, U.S. Publication No 20060182147 (admitted prior art) in view of

Leprieur et al, U.S. Publication No 20030003951.

Regarding Claim 1, the admitted prior art teaches that a method for time-synchronization of at

least two radio access modules of a multimode communication terminal capable to function

according to at least two distinct radio access technique in a cellular telecommunication

network in which one of the radio access modules is active in a current cell and the other

radio access module is in a passive state in the current cell (see [0003] [0009]), the method

comprising steps of: (a) measuring for each of cells adjacent to the current cell a time offset

T offset between start of a specific frame of the first radio technique and start of a specific

frame of the second radio access technique (see [0009] [0020] [0021]). However, the admitted

prior art does not teach that the method, wherein (b) using the time offset T offset measured

in step (a) for synchronizing the passive radio access module with the active radio access

Application/Control Number:

10/550,187

Art Unit: 2616

module. Leprieur et al from the same field of endeavor teach that the method, wherein (b)

using the time offset T offset measured in step (a) for synchronizing the passive radio

access module with the active radio access module (see Abstract, [0016] [0032] [0045]). At

the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person ordinary skill in the art to

synchronize the two radio access technique using the time offset between the two. The

motivation would have been that it is desired to guarantee smooth switching between two

different radio access technologies e.g. [0045] line 5-10.

Regarding Claim 2, the admitted prior art further teaches that the method further comprising a

step of activating the passive radio module from the active radio access module (see [0005]

Line 4-5, [0009] Line 10-12 i.e. switching from a network to the other causing a passive module

to become active).

Regarding Claims 3 and 10, the admitted prior art further teaches that the method, comprising a

step of updating a value of the offset T offset on each change of the current cell and for

each neighboring cell associated with the radio access technique of the passive module (see

[0005] [0009] [0020] i.e. the UMTS/GSM switching technique and T offset/OTD calculation

according to 3GPP standard must be able to perform repeatedly since it is an cellular

communication environment and the states of current cell and its neighboring cells are constantly

changing).

10/550,187

Art Unit: 2616

Regarding Claim 4, 11, 12 and 13, the admitted prior art further teaches that the method,

wherein the mobile terminal is a UMTS/GSM dual-mode terminal and wherein the

predefined duration T offset is a time difference observed on GSM defined in standard

3GPP TS 25.215 (see [0020]).

Regarding Claim 5, the admitted prior art further teaches that the method, wherein the

activation of the passive access module is made immediately before the measurements on

cells adjacent to the current cell (see [0003] [0005] [0009] i.e. consider the following scenario:

when a dual-mode terminal is in a UMTS cell, it first measures the T offset; when it moves to a

neighboring GSM cell, it has to secondly active the passive module; when it is in the GSM cell,

it then again thirdly measures T offset; the steps then repeats; therefore, when the dual-mode

terminal repeats second and third steps, the passive module is activated immediately before the

measuring the T offset again and again).

Regarding Claim 6, it is a device claim corresponding to the method claim 1, and therefore

rejected under the same reason set forth in the same section of claim 1 in this paragraph.

Regarding Claim 7, the admitted prior art teaches that a multimode mobile terminal

comprising: a radio access module dedicated to each operating mode (see [0003] [0009]).

However, the admitted prior art does not teach that a clock generator associated with each

10/550,187 Art Unit: 2616

radio access module; and a unit for calculating a time offset T offset between start of a specific frame of a first operating mode and start of a specific frame of a second operating mode in a cellular telecommunication network, wherein the mobile terminal comprises a central interface capable to generate a clock signal of a passive radio access module shifted with respect to a clock signal of an active radio access module concerning the duration T offset. Leprieur et al from the same field of endeavor teach that a clock generator associated with each radio access module (see [0013] [0022]); and a unit for calculating a time offset T offset between start of a specific frame of a first operating mode and start of a specific frame of a second operating mode in a cellular telecommunication network (see [0018-0023] [0025] line 1-10), wherein the mobile terminal comprises a central interface capable to generate a clock signal of a passive radio access module shifted with respect to a clock signal of an active radio access module concerning the duration T offset (see [0025] e.g. line 10-12). At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person ordinary skill in the art to include a clock for each radio access module, a unit for calculating T_offset and a central interface for generating clock signals in a multi-mode mobile terminal. The rationale would have been that with commonly available yet necessary (i.e. a clock is a must to measure time) components, the multi-mode terminal can be cost effective and adapted by general public, thus applied to different network technologies accepted by different nations.

Regarding Claim 8, the admitted prior art further teaches that the mobile terminal, wherein the central interface comprises a module for generating an order for activating the passive

Page 10

Application/Control Number:

10/550,187

Art Unit: 2616

radio access module (see [0005] Line 4-5, [0009] Line 10-12 i.e. switching from a network to

the other causing a passive module to become active).

Regarding Claims 9 and 14, the admitted prior art further teaches that the mobile terminal,

wherein the mobile terminal supports a UMTS network and a GSM network (see [0020]).

Conclusion

10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's

disclosure. Referring to the PTO Form 892, references are cited to show similar dual mode

UMTS/GSM method and system.

11. Examiner's Note: Examiner has cited particular columns and line numbers in the

references applied to the claims above for the convenience of the applicant. Although the

specified citations are representative of the teachings of the art and are applied to specific

limitations within the individual claim, other passages and figures may apply as well. It is

respectfully requested from the applicant in preparing responses, to fully consider the references

in entirety as potentially teaching all or part of the claimed invention, as well as the context of

the passage as taught by the prior art or disclosed by the Examiner.

Application/Control Number:

10/550,187

Art Unit: 2616

Page 11

In the case of amending the claimed invention, Applicant is respectfully requested to indicate the

portion(s) of the specification which dictate(s) the structure relied on for proper interpretation

and also to verify and ascertain the metes and bounds of the claimed invention.

12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Wei-po Kao whose telephone number is (571)270-3128. The

examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 8:30AM to 5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor,

Ricky Ngo can be reached on (571)272-3139. The fax phone number for the organization where

this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application

Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be

obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Application/Control Number: 10/550,187 Art Unit: 2616

Page 12